

Income statement proof

ECS Regional Medical Center (ECS demo tenant) · entity 4010 · FY2026 period 6 · reporting chart MASTER

We compare the statement with two of Infor's own delivered ledger inquiries: **Account Analysis**, which shows the actual amounts posted to each account, and **Analyze Budget**, which shows the budget amounts loaded for the same accounts and period. If the statement and the inquiry agree, the statement is reporting what the ledger holds.

Check 1 — Actual totals tie to the ledger

Line	On the statement	In the ledger (Account Analysis)	Difference
Total Net Revenue	1,255,830.25	1,255,830.25	0.00
Total Operating Income	1,255,830.25	1,255,830.25	0.00
Total Net Income	4,618,068.58	4,618,068.58	0.00

Check 2 — Budget totals tie to the ledger

Line	On the statement	In the ledger (Analyze Budget)	Difference
Total Net Revenue	1,237,384.24	1,237,384.24	0.00
Total Net Income	4,190,463.99	4,190,463.99	0.00

Analyze Budget shows the budget variance with the opposite sign (-427,604.59 against +427,604.59); the amounts agree exactly.

Check 3 — Statement detail

All 16 account lines on the statement match the ledger, for both actual and budget. None missing, none different.

Check 4 — Missing accounts: NOT PASSED

One account is not in the reporting chart: **40100 Revenue**. Because the statement and both inquiries read through the same reporting chart, Checks 1-3 cannot see it — every total above ties while revenue is missing.

Result

Not ready for release Map 40100 into the reporting chart, rerun all four checks, then release.

Why this is the sample we show

A tie-out alone would have passed this statement. The ECS handover check runs the missing-account check as well, which is the only check that catches an account left out of the chart.

